

Appendix 8

Map 1 – Total borough ‘Yes and No’ responses by ward

Map 2 - Total borough responses ‘Yes and No’ by household or property

Consultation commentary

Results data cleansing and verifications

It was important to enable as many individuals as possible to easily respond to the consultation. A risk of this method is that verifying the data becomes more challenging, with conclusions drawn to be treated more cautiously. These are the same risks for any similar large scale consultation of this type but nevertheless, it does enable everyone to participate in the way they would want.

Efforts have been made to cleanse the data received, including exclusion of duplicate responses and editing incorrectly inputted details.

Data verification processes have also been carried out by checking data samples against Council Tax records and by “door knocking” to speak to householders and confirm responses at a limited sample of respondents around the borough.

Responses to survey question 2

Although relatively few respondents chose to answer this question, there were two distinct answers among those who did respond. Some chose to use this question to outline support for the noise reduction plan and the plan to increase jobs but did not support the proposed increase in operating hours. Other respondents effectively were supportive of some change in operating hours but not the operating hours requested by BHAL, with some commenting about the need for less operating hours at the weekend for instance.

Void responses

A number of responses were received which were unable to be verified which have been clarified as void responses for a number of reasons, including lack information in answer to questions and name or address. Of the 416 void responses received, 160 were against the BHAL proposals and 157 were in support of them. There were also 99 ‘other’ void responses which had no “yes or no” answer indicated.

Group and business responses

23 responses were specifically received from Groups and Businesses, with 12 in favour of the BHAL proposals, 10 against them and one providing comments. These included 4 responses from neighbouring and nearby Councils, alongside responses from Business Groups, Residents Associations and other Businesses. These responses are listed in the below tables.

Group/Business Name	Consultation response
Biggin Hill Airport Consultative Committee	Yes
RAS Completions Ltd	Yes
Arena Aviation	Yes
Rizon Jet	Yes
EBAA (European Business Aviation Association)	Yes
London First	Yes
Deputy Mayor of London for Policy and Planning	Yes
BBGA (British Business and General Aviation Association)	Yes
Kent County Council	Yes
Zenith Aviation Limited	Yes
Tatsfield Parish Council	Yes
Biggin Hill Business Association	Yes
Total number of Group/Business responses YES	12

Group/Business Name	Consultation response
Leaves Green and Keston Vale Residents Association	No
Aperfield Green Belt Action Group	No
Downe Residents Association	No
Keston Village Residents Association	No
Halstead Parish Council	No
London Borough of Bromley Residents Federation	No
Hayes Village Association	No
Cudham Residents Association	No
Petts Wood and District Residents Association (PWDRA)	No
North Cray Residents Association	No
Total number of Group/Business responses NO	10

Group/Business Name	Consultation response
Tandridge District Council	Comments
Total number of Group/Business responses COMMENTS	1

Pro-forma responses

Two separate 'pro-forma letters' have been received in opposition to the BHAL proposals which in total represent 606 responses. One of the 'pro-formas' has been based on the Council consultation and includes the same questions asked, but without the same contextual information, therefore these have not been inputted into the Council consultation. 38% (229) of this number have responded to the Council consultation and 62% (377) only responded via the 'pro-forma' letter.

Additional correspondence

During the consultation, 6 letters and emails were received from individuals in support of the BHAL proposals, with 184 received against.

A number of factsheets, supplied by Bromley Residents Against Airport Development (BRAAD) an unincorporated association of residents, were also received during the consultation, providing commentary and research on the BHAL proposals.

25 pieces of correspondence in opposition to BHAL's proposals, including letters and emails, were received before the Council consultation commenced, with one email received in support.